arXiv:1508.06860v1 [math.AC] 27 Aug 2015

Nilpotent Evolution Algebras over arbitrary fields

A. S. Hegazi, Hani Abdelwahab
Mathematics department, Faculty of Science, Mansoura University, Egypt
hegazi@mans.edu.eg
haniamar1985@gmail.com

Abstract

The paper is devoted to the study of annihilator extensions of evolution algebras and suggests an approach to classify finite-dimensional nilpotent evolution algebras. Subsequently nilpotent evolution algebras of dimension up to four are classified.

Keywords and phrases: Nilpotent evolution algebra, Annihilator extension, Automorphism group.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 17D92, 17D99.

1 Introduction

Evolution algebras were introduced in 2006 by J.P. Tian and P. Vojtechovsky in their paper "Mathematical concepts of evolution algebras in non-Mendelian genetics " (see [10]). Later on, Tian laid the foundations of evolution algebras in his monograph [11]. These algebras present many connections with other mathematical fields including graph theory, group theory, Markov chains, dynamical systems, knot theory, 3-manifolds and the study of the Riemann-Zeta function (see [11]).

Evolution algebras are in general non-associative and do not belong to any of the well-known classes of non-associative algebras such as Lie algebras, alternative algebras, or Jordan algebras. Therefore, the research on these algebras follows different paths (see [2], [3], [4], [8], [12]).

One of the classical problems in study of any class of algebras is to know how many different (up to isomorphism) algebras exist for each dimension. In this way in [1], [6], [7] and [9], the classifications of nilpotent Jordan algebras, nilpotent Lie superalgebras, nilpotent associative algebras and nilpotent Lie algebras of low dimensions were given.

In this paper we study the class of nilpotent evolution algebras. Our aim is to describe a method for classifying nilpotent evolution algebras. In [3], the equivalence between nilpotent evolution algebras and evolution algebras which are defined by upper triangular matrices is proved. In [11], J. Tian defined an evolution algebra associated to any directed graph. In [5], A. Elduque and A. Labra considered the reverse direction, a directed graph is attached to any evolution algebra and they proved that nilpotency of an evolution algebra is equivalent to the nonexistence of oriented cycles in the attached directed graph.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give some basic concepts about evolution algebras. Section 3 is devoted to the description of construction of evolution algebras with non-trivial annihilator as annihilator extensions of evolution algebras of lower dimensions. In Section 4, we describe a method for classifying nilpotent evolution algebras. In Section 5, we classify nilpotent evolution algebras of dimension up to three over arbitrary fields. In Section 6, four-dimensional nilpotent evolution algebras are classified over an algebraic closed field of any characteristic and over $\mathbb{R}$.

2 Preliminaries

Definition 1 *[11] An* evolution algebra *is an algebra $\mathcal{E}$ containing a basis (as a vector space) $B = \{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ such that $e_ie_j = 0$ for any $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. A basis with this property is called a* natural basis.

Given a natural basis $B = \{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ of an evolution algebra $\mathcal{E}$,

$$e_i^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{ij} e_j$$

for some scalars $\alpha_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}$, $1 \leq i,j \leq n$. The matrix $A = \left(\alpha_{ij}\right)$ is the *matrix of structural constants* of the evolution algebra $\mathcal{E}$, relative to the natural basis B.

Definition 2 *An* ideal *$\mathcal{I}$ of an evolution algebra $\mathcal{E}$ is an evolution algebra satisfying $\mathcal{E}\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{I}$.*

Given an evolution algebra $\mathcal{E}$, consider its *annihilator*

$$ann\left(\mathcal{E}\right) := \left\{x \in \mathcal{E} : x\mathcal{E} = 0\right\}.$$

Lemma 3 *[5, Lemma 2.7] Let $B = \{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ be a natural basis of an evolution algebra $\mathcal{E}$. Then*

$$ann\left(\mathcal{E}\right) = \operatorname{span}\left\{e_i : e_i^2 = 0\right\}.$$

Hence $ann\left(\mathcal{E}\right)$ is an ideal of the evolution algebra $\mathcal{E}$. Let $B' = \{e_{r+1}, \ldots, e_n\}$ be a natural basis of $ann\left(\mathcal{E}\right)$. Then the quotient $\mathcal{E}/ann\left(\mathcal{E}\right)$ is an evolution algebra with a natural basis $B'' = \{\bar{e}_i = e_i + ann\left(\mathcal{E}\right) : 1 \leq i \leq r\}$.

Note that we can reorder the natural basis B of an evolution algebra $\mathcal{E}$ so that $B' = \{e_{r+1}, \ldots, e_n\}$ be a natural basis of $ann\left(\mathcal{E}\right)$.

Given an evolution algebra, we introduce the following sequence of subspaces:

$$\mathcal{E}^{\langle 1\rangle} = \mathcal{E}, \quad \mathcal{E}^{\langle k+1\rangle} = \mathcal{E}^{\langle k\rangle}\mathcal{E}.$$

Definition 4 *An algebra $\mathcal{E}$ is called* nilpotent *if there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathcal{E}^{\langle n\rangle} = 0$, and the minimal such number is called the* index of nilpotency.

One can easily verify that if $\mathcal{E}$ is nilpotent then $ann\left(\mathcal{E}\right) \neq 0$. Moreover, $\mathcal{E}$ is nilpotent if and only if $\mathcal{E}/ann\left(\mathcal{E}\right)$ is nilpotent.

3 Constructing Nilpotent evolution Algebra

Let $\mathcal{E}$ be an evolution algebra with a natural basis $B_{\mathcal{E}} = \{e_1, \ldots, e_m\}$ and V be a vector space with a basis $B_V = \{e_{m+1}, \ldots, e_n\}$. Let $\theta : \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow V$ be a bilinear map on $\mathcal{E}$, set $\mathcal{E}_\theta = \mathcal{E} \oplus V$ define a multiplication on $\mathcal{E}_\theta$ by:

$$e_i \star e_j = e_i e_j \mid_{\mathcal{E}} + \theta\left(e_i, e_j\right) \text{ if } 1 \leq i,j \leq m, \text{ and otherwise } e_i \star e_j = 0.$$

Then $\mathcal{E}_\theta$ is an evolution algebra with a natural basis $B_{\mathcal{E}_\theta} = \{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ if and only if $\theta\left(e_i, e_j\right) = 0$ for all $i \neq j$. Denote the space of all bilinear θ such that $\theta\left(e_i, e_j\right) = 0$ for all $i \neq j$ by $\mathcal{Z}\left(\mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E}, V\right)$. For $\theta \in \mathcal{Z}\left(\mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E}, V\right)$ with $\dim V = n - m$, the evolution algebra $\mathcal{E}_\theta = \mathcal{E} \oplus V$ is called a *$(n-m)$-dimensional annihilator extension* of $\mathcal{E}$ by V.

Now, for a linear map $f \in Hom\left(\mathcal{E}, V\right)$, if we define $\theta_f : \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow V$ by $\theta_f\left(e_i, e_j\right) = f\left(e_i e_j\right)$, then $\theta_f \in \mathcal{Z}\left(\mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E}, V\right)$. One can easily verify that the space defined by $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E}, V\right) = \{\theta_f : f \in Hom\left(\mathcal{E}, V\right)\}$ is a subspace of $\mathcal{Z}\left(\mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E}, V\right)$.

Lemma 5 *Let $\mathcal{E}$ be an evolution algebra with a natural basis $B_{\mathcal{E}} = \{e_1, \ldots, e_m\}$ and V be a vector space with a basis $B_V = \{e_{m+1}, \ldots, e_n\}$. Suppose that $\theta \in \mathcal{Z}\left(\mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E}, V\right)$ and $\theta_f \in \mathcal{B}\left(\mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E}, V\right)$. Then $\mathcal{E}_\theta \cong \mathcal{E}_{\theta+\theta_f}$.*

Proof. Let $x = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i e_i \in \mathcal{E}_\theta$, define a linear map $\sigma : \mathcal{E}_\theta \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\theta+\theta_f}$ by

$$\sigma\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i e_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i e_i + f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i e_i\right).$$

Then σ is an invertible linear transformation. Moreover, let $x = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i e_i, y = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} \beta_i e_i \in \mathcal{E}_\theta$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma\left(x \star_{\mathcal{E}_\theta} y\right) &= \sigma\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i \beta_i \left(e_i^2 + \theta\left(e_i, e_i\right)\right)\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i \beta_i \left(e_i^2 + \theta\left(e_i, e_i\right) + f\left(e_i^2\right)\right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i \beta_i \left(e_i^2 + \left(\theta + \theta_f\right)\left(e_i, e_i\right)\right) = \sigma\left(x\right) \star_{\mathcal{E}_{\theta+\theta_f}} \sigma\left(y\right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\mathcal{E}_\theta \cong \mathcal{E}_{\theta+\theta_f}$. ■

Hence the isomorphism type of $\mathcal{E}_\theta$ only depends on the element $\theta + \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}\times\mathcal{E},V)$. Therefore we consider the quotient space

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{E}\times\mathcal{E},V) = \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{E}\times\mathcal{E},V)/\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}\times\mathcal{E},V).$$

The annihilator extension corresponding to $\theta = 0$, and consequently corresponding to any element in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}\times\mathcal{E},V)$ is called a *trivial extension.*

Suppose that $\mathcal{E}$ is an evolution algebra with a natural basis $B_{\mathcal{E}} = \{e_1,\ldots,e_m\}$ and V be a vector space with a basis $B_V = \{e_{m+1},\ldots,e_n\}$. Then a symmetric bilinear map $\theta \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{E}\times\mathcal{E},V)$ can be written as $\theta(e_i,e_i) = \sum\limits_{j=1}^{n-m}\theta_j(e_i,e_i)\,e_{m+j}$, where $\theta_j \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{E}\times\mathcal{E},\mathbb{F})$. Further, $\theta \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}\times\mathcal{E},V)$ if and only if all $\theta_j \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}\times\mathcal{E},\mathbb{F})$.

Lemma 6 $\dim\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{E}\times\mathcal{E},\mathbb{F}) = \dim\mathcal{E} - \dim\mathcal{E}^{\langle 2\rangle}$.

Proof. We claim that $\dim\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}\times\mathcal{E},\mathbb{F}) = \dim\mathcal{E}^{\langle 2\rangle}$. Let $x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_k$ be a basis of $\mathcal{E}^{\langle 2\rangle}$, then $x_1^*,x_2^*,\ldots,x_k^*$ are linearly independent in $\mathcal{E}^*$ where x_i^* is defined by $x_i^*(x_i) = 1$ and $x_i^*(x_j) = 0$ if $i \neq j$. Let $\theta_f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}\times\mathcal{E},\mathbb{F})$, then $\theta_f = \alpha_1\theta_{x_1^*}+\alpha_2\theta_{x_1^*}+\cdots+\alpha_k\theta_{x_k^*}$ and $\theta_{x_1^*},\theta_{x_1^*},\ldots,\theta_{x_k^*}$are linearly independent in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}\times\mathcal{E},\mathbb{F})$. Hence $\dim\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}\times\mathcal{E},\mathbb{F}) = \dim\mathcal{E}^{\langle 2\rangle}$ and $\dim\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{E}\times\mathcal{E},\mathbb{F}) = \dim\mathcal{E} - \dim\mathcal{E}^{\langle 2\rangle}$. ■

Suppose that $\mathcal{E}$ is an evolution algebra with a natural basis $B_{\mathcal{E}} = \{e_1,\ldots,e_m\}$. Let us denote by $Sym(\mathcal{E})$ the space of all symmetric bilinear forms on $\mathcal{E}$. Then $Sym(\mathcal{E}) = \langle\delta_{e_i,e_j} : 1 \leq i \leq j \leq m\rangle$ while $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{E}\times\mathcal{E},\mathbb{F}) = \langle\delta_{e_i,e_i} : 1 = 1,2,\ldots,m\rangle$, where a bilinear form $\delta_{e_i,e_j} : \mathcal{E}\times\mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}$ is defined by $\delta_{e_i,e_j}(e_l,e_m) = 1$ if $\{i,j\} = \{l,m\}$, and otherwise it takes the value zero.

Example 7 *Let* $\mathcal{E} : e_1^2 = e_2$ *be a 2-dimensional nilpotent evolution algebra. Here* $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{E}\times\mathcal{E},\mathbb{F}) = \langle\delta_{e_1,e_1},\delta_{e_2,e_2}\rangle$*, by* Lemma 6, $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}\times\mathcal{E},\mathbb{F})$ *is spanned by* $\theta_{e_2^*}$*. Let* $\theta_{e_2^*} = \alpha\delta_{e_1,e_1}+\beta\delta_{e_2,e_2} \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{E}\times\mathcal{E},\mathbb{F})$*. It follows that* $\alpha = \theta_{e_2^*}(e_1,e_1) = e_2^*\left(e_1^2\right) = 1$*, and* $\beta = \theta_{e_2^*}(e_2,e_2) = e_2^*\left(e_2^2\right) = 0$ *then* $\theta_{e_2^*} = \delta_{e_1,e_1}$*. Hence* $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}\times\mathcal{E},\mathbb{F}) = \langle\delta_{e_1,e_1}\rangle$ *and* $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{E}\times\mathcal{E},\mathbb{F}) = \langle\delta_{e_2,e_2}\rangle$*.*

Example 8 *Let* $\mathcal{E} : e_1^2 = e_3, e_2^2 = e_3$ *be a 3-dimensional nilpotent evolution algebra. Here* $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{E}\times\mathcal{E},\mathbb{F}) = \langle\delta_{e_1,e_1},\delta_{e_2,e_2},\delta_{e_3,e_3}\rangle$*, by* Lemma 6, $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}\times\mathcal{E},\mathbb{F})$ *is spanned by* $\theta_{e_3^*}$*. Let* $\theta_{e_3^*} = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{3}\alpha_i\delta_{e_i,e_i}$*. Then* $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 1$*, and* $\alpha_3 = 0$*. Hence* $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}\times\mathcal{E},\mathbb{F}) = \langle\delta_{e_1,e_1}+\delta_{e_2,e_2}\rangle$ *and* $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{E}\times\mathcal{E},\mathbb{F}) = \langle\delta_{e_1,e_1},\delta_{e_3,e_3}\rangle$*.*

Suppose that $\mathcal{E}_\theta = \mathcal{E}\oplus V$ is an evolution algebra then $VV = 0$ and so $ann(\mathcal{E}_\theta) \supseteq V \neq 0$. It means that by this way we construct evolution algebras with $ann(\mathcal{E}_\theta) \neq 0$. Further, any evolution algebras with $ann(\mathcal{E}) \neq 0$ can be obtained by this way.

Lemma 9 *Let* $\mathcal{E}$ *be an evolution algebra and* $ann(\mathcal{E}) \neq 0$*. Then* $\mathcal{E}$ *is an annihilator extension of a lower dimensional evolution algebra.*

Proof. Suppose that $\mathcal{E}$ is an evolution algebra with a natural basis $B = \{e_1,\ldots,e_n\}$. Let $B' = \{e_{r+1},\ldots,e_n\}$ be a natural basis of $ann(\mathcal{E})$ then the quotient $\mathcal{E}/ann(\mathcal{E})$ is an evolution algebra with a natural basis $B'' = \{\bar{e}_i = e_i + ann(\mathcal{E}) : 1 \leq i \leq r\}$. If $\bar{x} = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{r}\alpha_i\bar{e}_i \in \mathcal{E}/ann(\mathcal{E})$ then we define an injective linear map $\sigma : \mathcal{E}/ann(\mathcal{E}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}$ by $\sigma(\bar{x}) = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{r}\alpha_i e_i$. For any $\bar{x},\bar{y} \in \mathcal{E}/ann(\mathcal{E})$ we have $\sigma(\bar{x})\sigma(\bar{y}) - \sigma(\bar{x}\bar{y}) \in ann(\mathcal{E})$ (let $\pi : \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}/ann(\mathcal{E})$ be a projection map then $\pi(\sigma(\bar{x})) = \bar{x}$ and hence $\sigma(\bar{x})\sigma(\bar{y}) - \sigma(\bar{x}\bar{y}) \in \ker\pi$). Then $\theta : \mathcal{E}/ann(\mathcal{E}) \times \mathcal{E}/ann(\mathcal{E}) \longrightarrow ann(\mathcal{E})$ defined by $\theta(\bar{x},\bar{y}) = \sigma(\bar{x})\sigma(\bar{y}) - \sigma(\bar{x}\bar{y}) \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{E}\times\mathcal{E},V)$. It remains to show that $(\mathcal{E}/ann(\mathcal{E}))_\theta = \mathcal{E}/ann(\mathcal{E})\oplus ann(\mathcal{E})$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{E}$. If $x \in \mathcal{E}$, then x can be uniquely written as $x = \sigma(\bar{y}) + z$, where $\bar{y} \in \mathcal{E}/ann(\mathcal{E})$ and $z \in ann(\mathcal{E})$. Defining $\phi : \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow (\mathcal{E}/ann(\mathcal{E}))_\theta$ by $\phi(x) = \phi(\sigma(\bar{y}) + z) = \bar{y} + z$, then ϕ is bijective and

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(x_1x_2) &= \phi\left((\sigma(\bar{y}_1) + z_1)(\sigma(\bar{y}_2) + z_2)\right) = \phi(\sigma(\bar{y}_1)\sigma(\bar{y}_2)) \\
&= \phi(\sigma(\bar{y}_1\bar{y}_2) + \theta(\bar{y}_1,\bar{y}_2)) = \bar{y}_1\bar{y}_2 + \theta(\bar{y}_1,\bar{y}_2) \\
&= (\bar{y}_1 + z_1)\star(\bar{y}_2 + z_2) = \phi(x_1)\star\phi(x_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Then ϕ is an isomorphism. ■

So in particular all nilpotent evolution algebras can be obtained by this way.

4 The Classification Method

In Section 3, Lemma 9, we show that a nilpotent evolution algebra $\mathcal{E}$ can be obtained as an annihilator extension of $M = \mathcal{E}/ann\,(\mathcal{E})$ by $V = ann\,(\mathcal{E})$. Now, suppose that $\mathcal{E}_\theta$ is an annihilator extension of $\mathcal{E}$ by V, if $ann(\mathcal{E}_\theta) \neq V$ then $\mathcal{E}_\theta$ can be obtained as an annihilator extension of $\mathcal{E}/ann\,(\mathcal{E})$ by $ann\,(\mathcal{E})$. So we get $\mathcal{E}_\theta$ as annihilator extensions of different algebras $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{E}/ann\,(\mathcal{E})$. Our aim is to avoid construct the same algebra by different algebras.

Definition 10 *Let $\theta \in \mathcal{Z}\,(\mathcal{E}\times\mathcal{E}, V)$ then $\theta^\perp = \{e_i \in \mathcal{E} : \theta(e_i, e_i) = 0\}$ is called the* radical *of θ. If $\theta(e_i, e_i) = \sum\limits_{j=1}^{n-m} \theta_j\,(e_i, e_i)\, e_{m+j}$ where $\theta_j \in \mathcal{Z}\,(\mathcal{E}\times\mathcal{E}, \mathbb{F})$, then*

$$\theta^\perp = \theta_1^\perp \cap \theta_2^\perp \cap \cdots \cap \theta_{n-m}^\perp.$$

Lemma 11 *Let $\theta \in \mathcal{Z}\,(\mathcal{E}\times\mathcal{E}, V)$. Then $ann(\mathcal{E}_\theta) = \Big(\theta^\perp \cap ann\,(\mathcal{E})\Big) \oplus V$.*

Proof. If $e_i \in ann(\mathcal{E}_\theta)$ then $e_i \star e_i = e_i^2 + \theta\,(e_i, e_i) = 0$, hence $e_i^2 = \theta\,(e_i, e_i) = 0$. It follows that $e_i \in \Big(\theta^\perp \cap ann\,(\mathcal{E})\Big) \oplus V$. On the other hand, note that $V \subset ann(\mathcal{E}_\theta)$. If $e_i \in \theta^\perp \cap ann\,(\mathcal{E})$ then $e_i \star e_i = e_i^2 + \theta\,(e_i, e_i) = 0$ and hence $e_i \in ann(\mathcal{E}_\theta)$. ■

Corollary 12 *Let $\theta \in \mathcal{Z}\,(\mathcal{E}\times\mathcal{E}, V)$. Then $ann(\mathcal{E}_\theta) = V$ if and only if*

$$\theta^\perp \cap ann\,(\mathcal{E}) = 0.$$

Corollary 13 *Let $\theta \in \mathcal{Z}\,(\mathcal{E}\times\mathcal{E}, V)$ such that $\theta^\perp \cap ann\,(\mathcal{E}) = 0$. Then $\mathcal{E}_\theta$ can not be obtained as an annihilator extension of a different algebra.*

Proof. Consider $\vartheta \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{M}\times\mathcal{M}, W)$ such that $\vartheta^\perp \cap ann(\mathcal{M}) = 0$. If $\mathcal{M}_\vartheta \cong \mathcal{E}_\theta$, then $ann\,(\mathcal{M}_\vartheta) \cong ann\,(\mathcal{E}_\theta)$. Hence $\mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{M}_\vartheta/ann\,(\mathcal{M}_\vartheta) \cong \mathcal{E}_\theta/ann\,(\mathcal{E}_\theta) \cong \mathcal{E}$. ■

Thus to avoid constructing the same algebra as an annihilator extension of different algebras, we restrict θ to be such that $\theta^\perp \cap ann\,(\mathcal{E}) = 0$.

Suppose that $\mathcal{E}$ is an evolution algebra. Denote $Aut\,(\mathcal{E})$ for the set of all automorphisms of $\mathcal{E}$. Let $\phi \in Aut\,(\mathcal{E})$. For $\theta \in \mathcal{Z}\,(\mathcal{E}\times\mathcal{E}, V)$ defining $\phi\theta\,(e_i, e_i) = \theta\,(\phi\,(e_i), \phi\,(e_i))$ then $\phi\theta \in \mathcal{Z}\,(\mathcal{E}\times\mathcal{E}, V)$ if and only if $\theta\,(\phi\,(e_i), \phi\,(e_j)) = 0$ for all $i \neq j$.

Consider the matrix representation and assume that $\theta = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{m} c_{ii}\delta_{e_i,e_i} \in \mathcal{Z}\,(\mathcal{E}\times\mathcal{E}, \mathbb{F})$. Then θ can be represented by an $m\times m$ diagonal matrix $\big(c_{ii}\big)$, and then $\phi\theta = \phi^t\big(c_{ii}\big)\phi$. Hence $\phi\theta \in \mathcal{Z}\,(\mathcal{E}\times\mathcal{E}, V)$ if and only if $\phi\theta$ be a diagonal matrix.

Now, suppose that $\mathcal{E}$ be an evolution algebra with a natural basis $B_{\mathcal{E}} = \{e_1, \ldots, e_m\}$ and V be a vector space with a basis $B_V = \{e_{m+1}, \ldots, e_n\}$. Let $\theta(e_l, e_l) = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n-m} \theta_i(e_l, e_l)e_{m+i}, \vartheta(e_l, e_l) = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n-m} \vartheta_i(e_l, e_l)e_{m+i} \in \mathcal{H}\,(\mathcal{E}\times\mathcal{E}, V)$ and $\theta^\perp \cap ann\,(\mathcal{E}) = \vartheta^\perp \cap ann\,(\mathcal{E}) = 0$, i.e., $ann(\mathcal{E}_\theta) = ann(\mathcal{E}_\vartheta) = V$. Assume that $\mathcal{E}_\theta$ and $\mathcal{E}_\vartheta$ are isomorphic, let $\phi : \mathcal{E}_\theta \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_\vartheta$ be an isomorphism then $\phi\,(ann(\mathcal{E}_\theta)) = ann(\mathcal{E}_\vartheta)$, i.e., $\psi = \phi\mid_V \in Gl\,(V)$. If $\phi\,(e_i) = y_i + v_i$ where $y_i \in \mathcal{E}, v_i \in V$, then ϕ induces an isomorphism $\phi_0 : \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}$ defined by $\phi_0\,(e_i) = y_i$ and a linear map $f : \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow V$ defined by $f\,(e_i) = v_i$. So, we can realize ϕ as a matrix of the form:

$$\phi = \begin{bmatrix} \phi_0 & 0 \\ f & \psi \end{bmatrix}; \phi_0 \in Aut\,(\mathcal{E})\,, f \in Hom\,(\mathcal{E}, V) \text{ and } \psi = \phi\mid_V \in Gl\,(V)\,.$$

Now, let $x = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} a_i e_i \in \mathcal{E}_\theta = \mathcal{E} \oplus V$ then

$$\phi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i e_i\right) = \phi_0\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i e_i\right) + f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i e_i\right) + \psi\left(\sum_{i=m+1}^{n} a_i e_i\right).$$

According to the definition of ϕ we have

$$\phi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i e_i \star_{\mathcal{E}_\theta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i e_i\right) = \phi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i e_i\right) \star_{\mathcal{E}_\vartheta} \phi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i e_i\right).$$

$$\begin{aligned} L.H.S. &= \phi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i^2 \left[e_i^2 + \theta(e_i, e_i)\right]\right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i^2 \left[\phi_0\left(e_i^2\right) + f\left(e_i^2\right) + \psi\left(\theta(e_i, e_i)\right)\right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i^2 \left[\phi_0\left(e_i^2\right) + \left(\theta_f + \psi \circ \theta\right)(e_i, e_i)\right]. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} R.H.S. &= \left[\phi_0\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i e_i\right)\right]^2 + \vartheta\left(\phi_0\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i e_i\right), \phi_0\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i e_i\right)\right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i^2 \left[\phi_0\left(e_i^2\right) + \phi_0 \vartheta(e_i, e_i)\right]. \end{aligned}$$

This yields to:

$$\phi_0 \vartheta(e_i, e_i) = \left(\theta_f + \psi \circ \theta\right)(e_i, e_i) \text{ for } i = 1, 2, ..., m. \tag{1}$$

i.e., $\phi_0 \upsilon = \theta_f + \psi \circ \theta \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E}, V)$. Thus $\mathcal{E}_\theta$ and $\mathcal{E}_\vartheta$ are isomorphic if and only if there exist $\phi_0 \in Aut(\mathcal{E})$ and $\psi \in Gl(V)$ such that $\phi_0 \upsilon = \psi \circ \theta$ modulo $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E}, V)$.

Note that for all $i \neq j$ we have

$$0 = \phi\left(e_i \star_{\mathcal{E}_\theta} e_j\right) = \phi\left(e_i\right) \star_{\mathcal{E}_\vartheta} \phi\left(e_j\right) = \vartheta\left(\phi_0\left(e_i\right), \phi_0\left(e_j\right)\right).$$

i.e., $\phi_0 \upsilon \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E}, V)$.

Now, for $1 \leq i \leq n - m$, if $\psi\left(e_{m+i}\right) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-m} a_{ij} e_{m+j}$, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-m} \phi_0 \vartheta_i(e_l, e_l) e_{m+i} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-m} \theta_i(e_l, e_l) \psi\left(e_{m+i}\right) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-m} \sum_{i=1}^{n-m} a_{ij} \theta_i(e_l, e_l) e_{m+j}.$$

Hence $\phi_0 \vartheta_j = \sum_{i=1}^{n-m} a_{ij} \theta_i$ modulo $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E}, V)$. It follows that the $\phi_0 \vartheta_i$ span the same subspace of $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E}, V)$ as the θ_i. We have proved

Lemma 14 *Let* $\theta(e_l, e_l) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-m} \theta_i(e_l, e_l) e_{m+i}$ *and* $\vartheta(e_l, e_l) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-m} \vartheta_i(e_l, e_l) e_{m+i}$ *be two elements of* $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E}, V)$. *Suppose that* $\theta^\perp \cap ann(\mathcal{E}) = \vartheta^\perp \cap ann(\mathcal{E}) = 0$. *Then* $\mathcal{E}_\theta \cong \mathcal{E}_\vartheta$ *if and only if there is a* $\phi \in Aut(\mathcal{E})$ *such that the* $\phi \vartheta_i$ *span the same subspace of* $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E}, \mathbb{F})$ *as the* θ_i.

In case of $\theta = \vartheta$, we obtain from Equation (1) the following description of $Aut(\mathcal{E}_\theta)$.

Lemma 15 *Let* $\mathcal{E}$ *be a nilpotent evolution algebra and* $\theta \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E}, V)$ *such that* $\theta^\perp \cap ann(\mathcal{E}) = 0$. *Then* $Aut(\mathcal{E}_\theta)$ *consists of all linear operators of the matrix form*

$$\phi = \begin{bmatrix} \phi_0 & 0 \\ f & \psi \end{bmatrix}; \phi_0 \in Aut(\mathcal{E}), f \in Hom(\mathcal{E}, V) \text{ and } \psi = \phi\mid_V \in Gl(V).$$

such that $\phi_0 \theta(e_i, e_i) = f\left(e_i^2\right) + \psi\left(\theta(e_i, e_i)\right)$ *for* $i = 1, 2, ..., m$.

Let $\mathcal{E}$ be an evolution algebra with a natural basis $B_{\mathcal{E}} = \{e_1, \ldots, e_m\}$. If $\mathcal{E} = \langle e_1, .., e_{r-1}, e_{r+1}, .., e_m\rangle \oplus \mathbb{F}e_r$ is the direct sum of two nilpotent ideals, the 1-dimensional ideal $\mathbb{F}e_r$ is called an *annihilator component* of $\mathcal{E}$. Any m-dimensional nilpotent evolution algebra with annihilator component is a 1-dimensional trivial extension of $(m-1)$-dimensional nilpotent evolution algebra. Our aim is to avoid construct nilpotent algebras with annihilator components, and hence when we construct $(n+1)$-dimensional nilpotent algebras, we have to add algebras $\mathcal{E} = I \oplus \mathbb{F}e_{n+1}$ where $\mathcal{I}$ be a nilpotent algebra with a natural basis $B_{\mathcal{I}} = \{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$.

Lemma 16 *Let* $\theta(e_l, e_l) = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n-m} \theta_i(e_l, e_l)e_{m+i}$ *and* $\theta^{\perp} \cap ann\,(\mathcal{E}) = 0$. *Then* $\mathcal{E}_\theta$ *has an annihilator component if and only if* $\theta_1, \theta_2, \ldots, \theta_s$ *are linearly dependent in* $\mathcal{H}\,(\mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E}, \mathbb{F})$.

Proof. Suppose that $\mathcal{E}_\theta$ has an annihilator component. Then we can write $\mathcal{E}_\theta = I \oplus \mathbb{F}e_{m+r}$ where $e_{m+r} \in V$. Then

$$\theta : \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \langle e_{m+1}, \ldots, e_{m+r-1}, e_{m+r+1}, \ldots, e_{n-m}\rangle\,,$$

and hence $\theta_r = 0$. Then $\theta_1, \theta_2, \ldots, \theta_{n-m}$ are linearly dependent. On the other hand, suppose that $\theta_1, \theta_2, \ldots, \theta_{n-m}$ are linearly dependent, let $\vartheta(e_l, e_l) = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{s} \vartheta_i(e_l, e_l)e_{m+i}$ where $\langle \vartheta_i : i = 1, 2, \ldots, s\rangle$ is a proper subspace of $\langle \theta_i : i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-m\rangle$ and span the same space as θ_i. Then by Lemma 14, we have $\mathcal{E}_\theta \cong \mathcal{E}_\vartheta$. It follows that $\mathcal{E}_\theta$ has an annihilator component. ■

Suppose that $\mathcal{E}$ is an evolution algebra. For $\theta \in \mathcal{H}\,(\mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E}, \mathbb{F})$, define a subgroup $\mathcal{S}_\theta\,(\mathcal{E})$ of $Aut\,(\mathcal{E})$ by

$$\mathcal{S}_\theta\,(\mathcal{E}) = \{\phi \in Aut\,(\mathcal{E}) : \theta\,(\phi\,(e_i)\,, \phi\,(e_j)) = 0 \;\; \forall i \neq j\}\,.$$

Now, we have a procedure takes a nilpotent evolution algebra $\mathcal{E}$ of dimension m with a natural basis $B_{\mathcal{E}} = \{e_1, \ldots, e_m\}$ gives us all nilpotent evolution algebras $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ of dimension n with a natural basis $B_{\tilde{\mathcal{E}}} = \{e_1, \ldots, e_m, e_{m+1}, \ldots, e_n\}$ such that $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}/ann\left(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}\right) \cong \mathcal{E}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ has no annihilator components. The procedure runs as follows:

1. Determine $\mathcal{Z}\,(\mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E}, \mathbb{F})\,, \mathcal{B}\,(\mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E}, \mathbb{F})$ and $\mathcal{H}\,(\mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E}, \mathbb{F})$.
2. Let V be a vector space with a basis $B_V = \{e_{m+1}, \ldots, e_n\}$. Consider $\theta \in \mathcal{H}\,(\mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E}, V)$ with
$$\theta(e_l, e_l) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-m} \theta_i(e_l, e_l)e_{m+i},$$
where the $\theta_i \in \mathcal{H}\,(\mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E}, \mathbb{F})$ are linearly independent, and $\theta^{\perp} \cap ann\,(\mathcal{E}) = 0$. Use the action of $\mathcal{S}_\theta\,(\mathcal{E})$ on θ by hand calculations to get a list of orbit representatives as small as possible.
3. For each orbit found, construct the corresponding algebra.

5 Nilpotent evolution algebras of dimension ≤ 3

In this section we present a complete classification of nilpotent evolution algebras of dimension ≤ 3. We denote the j-th algebra of dimension i by $\mathcal{E}_{i,j}$ and among the natural basis of $\mathcal{E}_{i,j}$ the multiplication is specified by giving only the nonzero products.

5.1 Nilpotent evolution algebras of dimension 1

Let $\mathcal{E}$ be an evolution algebra of dimension 1 with natural basis $\{e_1\}$. If $\mathcal{E}$ is nilpotent then $ann\,(\mathcal{E}) = \langle e_1\rangle$. Therefore, there is only one nilpotent evolution algebra of dimension 1, that is $\mathcal{E}_{1,1}$with a natural basis $\{e_1\}$ and

$\mathcal{E}$	Multiplication Table	$\mathcal{H}\,(\mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E}, \mathbb{F})$	$ann\,(\mathcal{E})$	$Aut\,(\mathcal{E})$
$\mathcal{E}_{1,1}$	————	$\langle \delta_{e_1,e_1}\rangle$	$\mathcal{E}_{1,1}$	$GL\,(\mathcal{E}_{1,1})$

Table 1: One-dimensional nilpotent evolution algebras

5.2 Nilpotent evolution algebras of dimension 2

Here we consider the classification of 2-dimensional nilpotent algebras.

5.2.1 Nilpotent algebras with annihilator component

We consider the 1-dimensional trivial extensions (corresponding to $\theta = 0$) of algebras of dimension 1. We get $\mathcal{E}_{2,1} = \mathcal{E}_{1,1} \oplus \mathcal{E}_{1,1}$.

5.2.2 Nilpotent algebras with no annihilator component

Here we consider 1-dimensional extensions of $\mathcal{E}_{1,1}$. We get only one algebra $\mathcal{E}_{2,2} : e_1^2 = e_2$ corresponding to $\theta = \delta_{e_1,e_1}$.

Theorem 17 *Up to isomorphism there exactly two nilpotent evolution algebras of dimension* 2 *with a natural basis* $B = \{e_1, e_2\}$ *over a field* $\mathbb{F}$ *which are isomorphic to the following pairwise non-isomorphic algebras:*

$\mathcal{E}$	Multiplication Table	$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E}, \mathbb{F})$	$\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E}, \mathbb{F})$	$ann(\mathcal{E})$	$Aut(\mathcal{E})$
$\mathcal{E}_{2,1}$	————	0	$\langle \delta_{e_1,e_1}, \delta_{e_2,e_2} \rangle$	$\mathcal{E}_{2,1}$	$GL(\mathcal{E}_{2,1})$
$\mathcal{E}_{2,2}$	$e_1^2 = e_2.$	$\langle \delta_{e_1,e_1} \rangle$	$\langle \delta_{e_2,e_2} \rangle$	$\langle e_2 \rangle$	$\phi = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & 0 \\ a_{21} & a_{11}^2 \end{bmatrix}$

Table 2: Two-dimensional nilpotent evolution algebras

5.3 Nilpotent evolution algebras of dimension 3

Here we classify three-dimensional nilpotent evolution algebras over arbitrary fields based on nilpotent evolution algebras of dimension up to two.

5.3.1 Nilpotent algebras with annihilator component

Here we consider the 1-dimensional trivial extensions of algebras of dimension 2. We get $\mathcal{E}_{3,1} = \mathcal{E}_{2,1} \oplus \mathcal{E}_{1,1}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{3,2} = \mathcal{E}_{2,2} \oplus \mathcal{E}_{1,1}$.

5.3.2 Nilpotent algebras with no annihilator component

1-dimensional extension of $\mathcal{E}_{2,1}$:

Let $\theta = \alpha\delta_{e_1,e_1} + \beta\delta_{e_2,e_2}$ and $\alpha\beta \neq 0$, otherwise $\theta^{\perp} \cap ann(\mathcal{E}_{2,1}) \neq 0$. The $\alpha^{-1}\theta$ span the same subspace in $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E}, \mathbb{F})$ as θ, so we may assume $\alpha = 1$. For any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}^*$, let $\theta_\alpha = \delta_{e_1,e_1} + \alpha\delta_{e_2,e_2}, \theta_\beta = \delta_{e_1,e_1} + \beta\delta_{e_2,e_2}$ and assume that $(\mathcal{E}_{2,1})_{\theta_\alpha}$ is isomorphic to $(\mathcal{E}_{2,1})_{\theta_\beta}$ then by Lemma 14, there exist a $\phi \in Aut(\mathcal{E}_{2,1})$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}^*$ such that $\phi\theta_\alpha = \lambda\theta_\beta$. Thus $\phi^t \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha \end{bmatrix} \phi = \lambda \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta \end{bmatrix}$, it follows that $\beta = \left(\delta = \frac{\det\phi}{\lambda}\right)^2 \alpha$. On the other hand, assume $\beta = \delta^2\alpha$. Then $\phi\theta_\alpha = \theta_\beta$ where $\phi = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \delta \end{bmatrix}$, then by Lemma 14 $(\mathcal{E}_{2,1})_{\theta_\alpha}$ is isomorphic to $(\mathcal{E}_{2,1})_{\theta_\beta}$. Hence $(\mathcal{E}_{2,1})_{\theta_\alpha}$ is isomorphic to $(\mathcal{E}_{2,1})_{\theta_\beta}$ if and only if there is a $\delta \in \mathbb{F}^*$ such that $\beta = \delta^2\alpha$. So we get the algebras:
$\mathcal{E}_{3,3}^{\alpha} : e_1^2 = e_3, e_2^2 = \alpha e_3 \; ; \alpha \in \mathbb{F}^*/\mathbb{F}^{*2}$.

1-dimensional extension of $\mathcal{E}_{2,2}$:

We get only one algebra $\mathcal{E}_{3,4} : e_1^2 = e_2, e_2^2 = e_3$ corresponding to $\theta = \delta_{e_2,e_2}$.

2-dimensional extension of $\mathcal{E}_{1,1}$:

Here we have $H(\mathcal{E}_{1,1} \times \mathcal{E}_{1,1}, \mathbb{F}) = \langle \delta_{e_1,e_1} \rangle$, so there is no 2-dimensional extension of $\mathcal{E}_{1,1}$.

Theorem 18 *Up to isomorphism any 3-dimensional nilpotent evolution algebras with a natural basis* $B = \{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ *over a field* $\mathbb{F}$ *is isomorphic to one of the following pairwise non-isomorphic nilpotent evolution algebras:*

$\mathcal{E}$	Multiplication Table	$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}\times\mathcal{E},\mathbb{F})$	$\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{E}\times\mathcal{E},\mathbb{F})$	$ann(\mathcal{E})$	$Aut(\mathcal{E})$
$\mathcal{E}_{3,1}$	———	0	$Sym(\mathcal{E}_{3,1})$	$\mathcal{E}_{3,1}$	$GL(\mathcal{E}_{3,1})$
$\mathcal{E}_{3,2}$	$e_1^2 = e_2.$	$\langle \delta_{e_1,e_1} \rangle$	$\langle \delta_{e_2,e_2}, \delta_{e_3,e_3} \rangle$	$\langle e_2, e_3 \rangle$	$\phi = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ a_{21} & a_{11}^2 & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & 0 & a_{33} \end{bmatrix}$
$\mathcal{E}_{3,3}^{\alpha\in\mathbb{F}^*/\mathbb{F}^{*2}}$	$e_1^2 = e_3, e_2^2 = \alpha e_3.$	$\langle \delta_{e_1,e_1} + \delta_{e_2,e_2} \rangle$	$\langle \delta_{e_1,e_1}, \delta_{e_3,e_3} \rangle$	$\langle e_3 \rangle$	$\phi = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & 0 \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & 0 \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{bmatrix},$ $a_{11}^2 + \alpha a_{21}^2 = a_{33},$ $a_{12}^2 + \alpha a_{22}^2 = \alpha a_{33},$ $a_{11}a_{12} + \alpha a_{21}a_{22} = 0.$
$\mathcal{E}_{3,4}$	$e_1^2 = e_2, e_2^2 = e_3.$	$\langle \delta_{e_1,e_1}, \delta_{e_2,e_2} \rangle$	$\langle \delta_{e_3,e_3} \rangle$	$\langle e_3 \rangle$	$\phi = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_{11}^2 & 0 \\ a_{31} & 0 & a_{11}^4 \end{bmatrix}$

Table 3: Three-dimensional nilpotent evolution algebras

From Theorem 18, up to isomorphism, the number of 3-dimensional nilpotent evolution algebras:

Over an algebraic closed field $\mathbb{F}$ is	Over $\mathbb{R}$ is	Over $\mathbb{Q}$ is	Over any finite field is
4	5	∞	5 if characteristic $\mathbb{F}$ is odd 4 if characteristic $\mathbb{F}$ is even

Table 4: Number of 3-dimensional nilpotent Evolution algebras

6 Nilpotent evolution algebras of dimension 4

In this section we present a complete classification of 4-dimensional nilpotent evolution algebras over an algebraic closed field and $\mathbb{R}$. We denote real nilpotent evolution algebras of dimension 4 by $E_{4,j}$.

6.1 Nilpotent algebras with annihilator component

Here we consider the 1-dimensional trivial extension corresponding to $\theta = 0$ of algebras of dimension 3. We get $\mathcal{E}_{4,1} = E_{4,1} = \mathcal{E}_{3,1} \oplus \mathcal{E}_{1,1}, \mathcal{E}_{4,2} = E_{4,2} = \mathcal{E}_{3,2} \oplus \mathcal{E}_{1,1}, \mathcal{E}_{4,3} = E_{4,3} = \mathcal{E}_{3,3}^{\alpha=1} \oplus \mathcal{E}_{1,1}, E_{4,4} = \mathcal{E}_{3,3}^{\alpha=-1} \oplus \mathcal{E}_{1,1}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{4,4} = E_{4,5} = \mathcal{E}_{3,4} \oplus \mathcal{E}_{1,1}$.

6.2 Nilpotent algebras with no annihilator component

1-dimensional extension of $\mathcal{E}_{3,1}$:

Let $\theta = \alpha\delta_{e_1,e_1} + \beta\delta_{e_2,e_2} + \gamma\delta_{e_3,e_3}$ and $\alpha\beta\gamma \neq 0$. After dividing we may assume that $\theta = \delta_{e_1,e_1} + \beta\delta_{e_2,e_2} + \gamma\delta_{e_3,e_3}$ and $\beta\gamma \neq 0$. Consider $\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3, \phi_4 \in \mathcal{S}_\theta(\mathcal{E}_{3,1})$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_1 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta^{-\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma^{-\frac{1}{2}} \end{bmatrix}, \phi_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta^{-\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (-\gamma)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \end{bmatrix}, \phi_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (-\beta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ 0 & \gamma^{-\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\
\phi_4 &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & (-\beta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \\ (-\gamma)^{-\frac{1}{2}} & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

- *Over an algebraic closed field* $\mathbb{F}$:

  Here β, γ are squares then $\phi_1\theta = \delta_{e_1,e_1} + \delta_{e_2,e_2} + \delta_{e_3,e_3}$. So we get the algebra $\mathcal{E}_{4,5} : e_1^2 = e_2^2 = e_3^2 = e_4$.

- *Over the real field* $\mathbb{R}$:

  - If $\beta > 0, \gamma > 0$ then $\phi_1\theta = \theta_1 = \delta_{e_1,e_1} + \delta_{e_2,e_2} + \delta_{e_3,e_3}$.
  - If $\beta > 0, \gamma < 0$ then $\phi_2\theta = \theta_2 = \delta_{e_1,e_1} + \delta_{e_2,e_2} - \delta_{e_3,e_3}$.
  - If $\beta < 0, \gamma > 0$ then $\phi_3\theta = \theta_2 = \delta_{e_1,e_1} + \delta_{e_2,e_2} - \delta_{e_3,e_3}$.
  - If $\beta < 0, \gamma < 0$ then $\phi_4\theta = -\theta_2 = -\left(\delta_{e_1,e_1} + \delta_{e_2,e_2} - \delta_{e_3,e_3}\right)$.

Therefore we have two representatives θ_1, θ_2 . It remains to decide isomorphism between $\left(\mathcal{E}_{3,1}\right)_{\theta_1}, \left(\mathcal{E}_{3,1}\right)_{\theta_2}$. By Lemma 14, $\left(\mathcal{E}_{3,1}\right)_{\theta_1}$is isomorphic to $\left(\mathcal{E}_{3,1}\right)_{\theta_2}$ if and only if there exist a $\phi \in Aut\left(\mathcal{E}_{3,1}\right)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*$ such that $\phi\theta_1 = \lambda\theta_2$. This amounts to (among others) the equations:

$$a_{11}^2 + a_{21}^2 + a_{31}^2 = \lambda, a_{12}^2 + a_{22}^2 + a_{32}^2 = \lambda, a_{13}^2 + a_{23}^2 + a_{33}^2 = -\lambda,$$

which never have a solution in $\mathbb{R}$. Thus $\left(\mathcal{E}_{3,1}\right)_{\theta_1}, \left(\mathcal{E}_{3,1}\right)_{\theta_2}$ are non-isomorphic. So we get only two algebras:

$E_{4,6} : e_1^2 = e_2^2 = e_3^2 = e_4$.

$E_{4,7} : e_1^2 = e_2^2 = e_4, e_3^2 = -e_4$.

1-dimensional extension of $\mathcal{E}_{3,2}$:

Let $\theta = \alpha\delta_{e_2,e_2} + \beta\delta_{e_3,e_3}$ and $\alpha\beta \neq 0$. We can divide to get $\alpha = 1$. So we may assume that $\theta = \delta_{e_2,e_2} + \beta\delta_{e_3,e_3}$. Consider $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \mathcal{S}_\theta\left(\mathcal{E}_{3,2}\right)$ such that

$$\phi_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \beta^{-\frac{1}{2}} \end{bmatrix}, \phi_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (-\beta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \end{bmatrix}.$$

- *Over an algebraic closed field $\mathbb{F}$:*

  Here β is a square then $\phi_1\theta = \delta_{e_2,e_2} + \delta_{e_3,e_3}$. So we get the algebra $\mathcal{E}_{4,6} : e_1^2 = e_2, e_2^2 = e_3^2 = e_4$.

- *Over the real field $\mathbb{R}$:*

  - If $\beta > 0$ then $\phi_1\theta = \theta_1 = \delta_{e_2,e_2} + \delta_{e_3,e_3}$.
  - If $\beta < 0$ then $\phi_2\theta = \theta_2 = \delta_{e_2,e_2} - \delta_{e_3,e_3}$.

  Suppose that $\phi \in Aut\left(\mathcal{E}_{3,2}\right)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*$ such that $\phi\theta_1 = \lambda\theta_2$. Then we get (among others) the equations

$$a_{22}^2 + a_{32}^2 = \lambda, a_{33}^2 = -\lambda,$$

  which never have a solutions in $\mathbb{R}$. Thus $\left(\mathcal{E}_{3,2}\right)_{\theta_1}, \left(\mathcal{E}_{3,2}\right)_{\theta_2}$ are not isomorphic. So we get only two algebras:

  $E_{4,8} : e_1^2 = e_2, e_2^2 = e_3^2 = e_4$.

  $E_{4,9} : e_1^2 = e_2, e_2^2 = e_4, e_3^2 = -e_4$.

1-dimensional extension of $\mathcal{E}_{3,3}^\alpha$:

Let $\theta = \gamma\delta_{e_1,e_1} + \beta\delta_{e_3,e_3}$ and $\beta \neq 0$. After dividing we may assume that $\theta = \gamma\left(\delta_{e_1,e_1} + \alpha\delta_{e_2,e_2}\right) + \delta_{e_3,e_3}$. Consider $\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3 \in \mathcal{S}_\theta\left(\mathcal{E}_{3,3}^\alpha\right)$ such that

$$\phi_1 = \begin{bmatrix} (-\gamma)^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (-\gamma)^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\gamma \end{bmatrix}, \phi_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma \end{bmatrix}, \phi_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & (-\gamma)^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \\ (-\gamma)^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\gamma \end{bmatrix}.$$

- *Over an algebraic closed field $\mathbb{F}$.* In this case $\alpha = 1$.

  If $\gamma = 0$ then we get $\theta_1 = \delta_{e_3,e_3}$. If $\gamma \neq 0$ then $\phi_2\theta = \gamma^2\left(\delta_{e_1,e_1} + \delta_{e_3,e_3}\right)$. Then after dividing we may assume that $\theta_2 = \delta_{e_1,e_1} + \delta_{e_3,e_3}$. Suppose that $\phi \in Aut\left(\mathcal{E}_{3,3}^{\alpha=1}\right)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}^*$ such that $\phi\theta_1 = \lambda\theta_2$.

$$a_{31}^2 - a_{32}^2 = \lambda, a_{33}^2 = \lambda, a_{31}a_{32} = a_{31}a_{33} = a_{32}a_{33} = 0,$$

  which never have a solution. So, $\left(\mathcal{E}_{3,3}^{\alpha=1}\right)_{\theta_1}$ is not isomorphic to $\left(\mathcal{E}_{3,3}^{\alpha=1}\right)_{\theta_2}$.Therefore we get the following non-isomorphic algebras:

  $\mathcal{E}_{4,7} : e_1^2 = e_3, e_2^2 = e_3, e_3^2 = e_4$.

  $\mathcal{E}_{4,8} : e_1^2 = e_3 + e_4, e_2^2 = e_3, e_3^2 = e_4$.

- *Over the real field* $\mathbb{R}$*. In this case* $\alpha = \pm 1$.

  Case1. $\alpha = 1$:

  - If $\gamma = 0$, then we get $\theta_1 = \delta_{e_3,e_3}$.
  - If $\gamma < 0$ then $\phi_3\theta = \gamma^2(-\delta_{e_2,e_2} + \delta_{e_3,e_3})$. Since $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathcal{E}_{3,3}^{\alpha=1} \times \mathcal{E}_{3,3}^{\alpha=1}, \mathbb{R}\right) = \langle \delta_{e_1,e_1} + \delta_{e_2,e_2} \rangle$, $\delta_{e_1,e_1} = -\delta_{e_2,e_2}$ in $\mathcal{H}\left(\mathcal{E}_{3,3}^{\alpha=1} \times \mathcal{E}_{3,3}^{\alpha=1}, \mathbb{R}\right)$. So, $\phi_3\theta = \gamma^2(\delta_{e_1,e_1} + \delta_{e_3,e_3})$. So after dividing we may assume that $\theta_2 = \delta_{e_1,e_1} + \delta_{e_3,e_3}$.
  - If $\gamma > 0$ then $\phi_2\theta = \gamma^2(\delta_{e_1,e_1} + \delta_{e_3,e_3})$. Therefore we a gain get $\theta_2 = \delta_{e_1,e_1} + \delta_{e_3,e_3}$.

  Suppose that $\phi \in Aut\left(\mathcal{E}_{3,3}^{\alpha=1}\right)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}^*$ such that $\phi\theta_1 = \lambda\theta_2$. This then amounts to the following equations:

  $$a_{31}^2 - a_{32}^2 = \lambda, a_{33}^2 = \lambda, a_{31}a_{32} = a_{31}a_{33} = a_{32}a_{33} = 0,$$

  which never have a solution in $\mathbb{R}$. So, $\left(\mathcal{E}_{3,3}^{\alpha=1}\right)_{\theta_1}$ is not isomorphic to $\left(\mathcal{E}_{3,3}^{\alpha=1}\right)_{\theta_2}$.Therefore we get the following non-isomorphic algebras:

  $E_{4,10} : e_1^2 = e_3, e_2^2 = e_3, e_3^2 = e_4$.

  $E_{4,11} : e_1^2 = e_3 + e_4, e_2^2 = e_3, e_3^2 = e_4$.

  Case 2. $\alpha = -1$:

  - If $\gamma = 0$ then we get $\theta_1 = \delta_{e_3,e_3}$.
  - If $\gamma < 0$ then $\phi_3\theta = -\gamma^2(\delta_{e_1,e_1} - \delta_{e_3,e_3})$. So after dividing we may assume that $\theta_2 = \delta_{e_1,e_1} - \delta_{e_3,e_3}$.
  - If $\gamma > 0$ then $\phi_2\theta = \gamma^2(\delta_{e_1,e_1} + \delta_{e_3,e_3})$. So after dividing we may assume that $\theta_3 = \delta_{e_1,e_1} + \delta_{e_3,e_3}$.

  Firstly, suppose that $\phi \in Aut\left(\mathcal{E}_{3,3}^{\alpha=-1}\right)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*$ such that $\phi\theta_1 = \lambda\theta_2$. Then we get the following equations

  $$a_{31}^2 + a_{32}^2 = \lambda, a_{33}^2 = -\lambda, a_{31}a_{32} = a_{31}a_{33} = a_{32}a_{33} = 0,$$

  which never have a solution in $\mathbb{R}$. So $\left(\mathcal{E}_{3,3}^{\alpha=-1}\right)_{\theta_1}$ is not isomorphic to $\left(\mathcal{E}_{3,3}^{\alpha=-1}\right)_{\theta_2}$.

  Next, suppose that $\phi \in Aut\left(\mathcal{E}_{3,3}^{\alpha=-1}\right)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*$ such that $\phi\theta_1 = \lambda\theta_3$. Then we get the equations

  $$a_{31}^2 + a_{32}^2 = \lambda, a_{33}^2 = \lambda, a_{31}a_{32} = a_{31}a_{33} = a_{32}a_{33} = 0,$$

  which never have a solution in $\mathbb{R}$. So $\left(\mathcal{E}_{3,3}^{\alpha=-1}\right)_{\theta_1}$ is not isomorphic to $\left(\mathcal{E}_{3,3}^{\alpha=-1}\right)_{\theta_3}$.

  Finally, suppose that $\phi \in Aut\left(\mathcal{E}_{3,3}^{\alpha=-1}\right)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*$ such that $\phi\theta_3 = \lambda\theta_2$. Then we get (among others) the equations

  $$a_{11}^2 + a_{31}^2 + a_{12}^2 + a_{32}^2 = \lambda, a_{33}^2 = -\lambda,$$

  which never have a solution in $\mathbb{R}$. So $\left(\mathcal{E}_{3,3}^{\alpha=-1}\right)_{\theta_2}$ is not isomorphic to $\left(\mathcal{E}_{3,3}^{\alpha=-1}\right)_{\theta_3}$. Hence we get the following pairwise non-isomorphic algebras:

  $E_{4,12} : e_1^2 = e_3, e_2^2 = -e_3, e_3^2 = e_4$.

  $E_{4,13} : e_1^2 = e_3 + e_4, e_2^2 = -e_3, e_3^2 = -e_4$.

  $E_{4,14} : e_1^2 = e_3 + e_4, e_2^2 = -e_3, e_3^2 = e_4$.

1-dimensional extension of $\mathcal{E}_{3,4}$:

- *Over an algebraic closed field* $\mathbb{F}$:

  Since $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{E}_{3,4} \times \mathcal{E}_{3,4}, \mathbb{F}) = \langle \delta_{e_3,e_3} \rangle$, we get only one algebra $\mathcal{E}_{4,9} : e_1^2 = e_2, e_2^2 = e_3, e_3^2 = e_4$.
- *Over the real field* $\mathbb{R}$*:*

  Also, $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{E}_{3,4} \times \mathcal{E}_{3,4}, \mathbb{R}) = \langle \delta_{e_3,e_3} \rangle$. So, we get only one algebra $E_{4,15} : e_1^2 = e_2, e_2^2 = e_3, e_3^2 = e_4$.

2-dimensional extension of $\mathcal{E}_{2,1}$:

- *Over an algebraic closed field* $\mathbb{F}$:
  As $\mathcal{H}\left(\mathcal{E}_{2,1}\times\mathcal{E}_{2,1},\mathbb{F}\right)=\langle\delta_{e_1,e_1},\delta_{e_2,e_2}\rangle$, we get only one algebra $\mathcal{E}_{4,10}: e_1^2=e_3, e_2^2=e_4$.
- *Over the real field* $\mathbb{R}$*:*
  Also, $\mathcal{H}\left(\mathcal{E}_{2,1}\times\mathcal{E}_{2,1},\mathbb{R}\right)=\langle\delta_{e_1,e_1},\delta_{e_2,e_2}\rangle$ and so we get only one algebra $E_{4,16}: e_1^2=e_3, e_2^2=e_4$.

2-dimensional extension of $\mathcal{E}_{2,2}$:

Here $\mathcal{H}\left(\mathcal{E}_{2,2}\times\mathcal{E}_{2,2},\mathbb{F}\right)=\langle\delta_{e_2,e_2}\rangle$ and hence $\mathcal{E}_{2,2}$ has no 2-dimensional extension.

3-dimensional extension of $\mathcal{E}_{1,1}$:

Here $\mathcal{H}\left(\mathcal{E}_{1,1}\times\mathcal{E}_{1,1},\mathbb{F}\right)=\langle\delta_{e_1,e_1}\rangle$ and hence $\mathcal{E}_{1,1}$ has no 3-dimensional extension.

Theorem 19 *Up to isomorphism there exist* 10 *nilpotent evolution algebras of dimension four with a natural basis* $B=\{e_1,e_2,e_3,e_4\}$ *over an algebraic closed field* $\mathbb{F}$ *which are isomorphic to one of the following pairwise non-isomorphic nilpotent evolution algebras:*

- $\mathcal{E}_{4,1}:$ *All products are zeros.*
- $\mathcal{E}_{4,2}: e_1^2=e_2$.
- $\mathcal{E}_{4,3}: e_1^2=e_3, e_2^2=e_3$.
- $\mathcal{E}_{4,4}: e_1^2=e_2, e_2^2=e_3$.
- $\mathcal{E}_{4,5}: e_1^2=e_4, e_2^2=e_4, e_3^2=e_4$.
- $\mathcal{E}_{4,6}: e_1^2=e_2, e_2^2=e_4, e_3^2=e_4$.
- $\mathcal{E}_{4,7}: e_1^2=e_3, e_2^2=e_3, e_3^2=e_4$.
- $\mathcal{E}_{4,8}: e_1^2=e_3+e_4, e_2^2=e_3, e_3^2=e_4$.
- $\mathcal{E}_{4,9}: e_1^2=e_2, e_2^2=e_3, e_3^2=e_4$.
- $\mathcal{E}_{4,10}: e_1^2=e_3, e_2^2=e_4$.

Theorem 20 *Up to isomorphism there exist* 16 *nilpotent evolution algebras of dimension four with a natural basis* $B=\{e_1,e_2,e_3,e_4\}$ *over* $\mathbb{R}$ *which are isomorphic to one of the following pairwise non-isomorphic nilpotent evolution algebras:*

- $E_{4,1}:$ *All products are zeros.*
- $E_{4,2}: e_1^2=e_2$.
- $E_{4,3}: e_1^2=e_3, e_2^2=e_3$.
- $E_{4,4}: e_1^2=e_3, e_2^2=-e_3$.
- $E_{4,5}: e_1^2=e_2, e_2^2=e_3$.
- $E_{4,6}: e_1^2=e_4, e_2^2=e_4, e_3^2=e_4$.
- $E_{4,7}: e_1^2=e_4, e_2^2=e_4, e_3^2=-e_4$.
- $E_{4,8}: e_1^2=e_2, e_2^2=e_4, e_3^2=e_4$.
- $E_{4,9}: e_1^2=e_2, e_2^2=e_4, e_3^2=-e_4$.
- $E_{4,10}: e_1^2=e_3, e_2^2=e_3, e_3^2=e_4$.
- $E_{4,11}: e_1^2=e_3+e_4, e_2^2=e_3, e_3^2=e_4$.
- $E_{4,12}: e_1^2=e_3, e_2^2=-e_3, e_3^2=e_4$.
- $E_{4,13}: e_1^2=e_3+e_4, e_2^2=-e_3, e_3^2=-e_4$.
- $E_{4,14}: e_1^2=e_3+e_4, e_2^2=-e_3, e_3^2=e_4$.
- $E_{4,15}: e_1^2=e_2, e_2^2=e_3, e_3^2=e_4$
- $E_{4,16}: e_1^2=e_3, e_2^2=e_4$.

References

[1] J. Ancochea Bermudez, J. Fresan, J. Bentabol, Contractions of Low-Dimensional Nilpotent Jordan Algebras, Communications In Algebra. 39 (2011) 1139–1151.

[2] L. Camacho, J. Gomez, B. Omirov, R. Turdibaev, Some properties of evolution algebras, Bulletin Of The Korean Mathematical Society. 50 (2013) 1481–1494.

[3] J. Casas, M. Ladra, B. Omirov, U. Rozikov, On Evolution Algebras, Algebra Colloq. 21 (2014) 331–342.

[4] J. Casas, M. Ladra, B. Omirov, U. Rozikov, On nilpotent index and dibaricity of evolution algebras, Linear Algebra And Its Applications. 439 (2013) 90–105.

[5] A. Elduque, A. Labra, Evolution algebras and graphs, arxiv.org. (2013).

[6] A. Hegazi, Classification of Nilpotent Lie Superalgebras of Dimension Five. I, International Journal Of Theoretical Physics. 38 (1999) 1735–1739.

[7] G. Mazzola, Generic finite schemes and Hochschild cocycles, Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici. 55 (1980) 267–293.

[8] U. Rozikov, J. Tian, Evolution algebras generated by Gibbs measures, Lobachevskii Journal Of Mathematics. 32 (2011) 270–277.

[9] C. Seeley, 7-Dimensional Nilpotent Lie Algebras, Transactions Of The American Mathematical Society. 335 (1993) 479.

[10] J. Tian, P. Vojtechovsky, Mathematical concepts of evolution algebras in non-Mendelian genetics, in: Quasigroups and Related Systems, 2006: pp. 111–122.

[11] J. Tian, Evolution algebras and their applications, Springer, Berlin, 2008.

[12] J. Tian, Y. Zou, Finitely generated nil but not nilpotent evolution algebras, J. Algebra Appl. 13 (2014) 1350070.